

REMARKS

This amendment is in response to the final Office Action (Paper No.20070816) mailed on the 30th of August, 2007.

Claim 1 is amended. Claims 5-7 are canceled without disclaiming their subject matter.

Reexamination and reconsideration are respectfully requested.

Request for Correction of the Cited Reference

In the final Office Action (Paper No. 20070816) mailed on August 30, 2007, the Examiner cited a reference, Yamazaki (JP 2002-100828 A), in order to support the rejection of Applicant's claims 1-2, and 4-5. However, it turns out that the cited reference, Yamazaki (JP 2002-100828 A), is not relevant to the present invention.

Applicant confirmed through phone conversation with the Examiner that the correct reference is Shiratori *et al.* (JP 2002-100282 A), and Applicant's reasoning in this amendment is made based on Shiratori *et al.* (JP 2002-100282 A).

Correction of the cited reference in the Notice of Reference Cited is respectfully requested.

Status of Claims

Claims 1-7, and 9-14 are pending.

Claims 1-2 and 4-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by Shiratori

et al. (JP 2002-100282 A).

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Shiratori *et al.* (JP 2002-100282 A) in view of Choi *et al.* (US 2001/0006232 A1).

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Shiratori *et al.* (JP 2002-100282 A) in view of Choi *et al.* (US 6504292 B1).

Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Shiratori *et al.* (JP 2002-100282 A) in view of Lee *et al.* (US 2002/0175618 A1).

Claims 7, 9-10, 11-12, and 14 are objected as being dependent upon a rejected base claim.

Regarding claim 1

Applicant amends the claim 1 to include the features presented in the claim 7, which is objected as being dependent upon a rejected base claim but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Therefore, Applicant believes that the amended claim 1 is allowable. Reconsideration is respectfully requested.

Conclusion

In view of the above debate, the foregoing amendment, and remarks, all claims are deemed allowable and this application is believed to be in condition to be passed to issue. If there is any

question, the Examiner is asked to contact the Applicant's attorney.

No fee is incurred by this amendment.

Respectfully submitted,

Matthew Festina
for Robert E. Bushnell
Attorney for the Applicant
Registration No.: 27,774

1522 "K" Street N.W., Suite 300
Washington, D.C. 20005
(202) 408-9040

Folio: P56897
Date: 11/2/07
I.D.: REB/YJK